



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/516,327

12/14/2004

James A. Proctor

080583

8651

23696 7590 11/12/2009
QUALCOMM INCORPORATED
5775 MOREHOUSE DR.
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121

EXAMINER

LAI, ANDREW

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2473

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/12/2009

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com
kascanla@qualcomm.com
nanm@qualcomm.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/516,327	Applicant(s) PROCTOR ET AL.	
	Examiner ANDREW LAI	Art Unit 2473	

All Participants:

(1) Andrew Lai (PTO personnel).

(2) Linda Gunderson (Applicant's representative).

Date of Interview: 28 October 2009

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
1,16,18,22,27,28,31,33,34 and 35

Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: ~2:00pm

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The key issues the Examiner deems to be necessary for initiating an interview is about the limitation in most of the claims of "receiving signals on two bi-directional communication frequencies simultaneously", in the hope that some kind of Examiner's Amendment can be agreed upon to place the case in condition for allowance. The following issues are discussed. 1. Examiner indicated to Ms Gunderson that the originally claimed feature of "receiving signals on two bi-directional communication frequencies simultaneously" is not described in the original Specification; but otherwise would be allowable if an Examiner's Amendment can be worked out to incorporate the originally claimed feature into the Specification, for which Ms Gunderson indicated the need of more time to consult with the Applicant (therefore, an Office Action with 112 first paragraph rejection will be made on the ground of failing to comply with written description). 2. Examiner also indicated to Ms Gunderson that Claim 35, claiming a "computer-readable medium", will be subject to 101 rejection because Applicant failed to provide in the Specification what it actually is. Ms Gunderson agreed to cancel the claim with an Examiner's Amendment, which Examiner's Amendment however is not possible at this time since a rejection will have to be made in view of point 1 above and Examiner's Amendment cannot be made unless it's for allowance (therefore an Office Action with a 101 rejection for claim 35 will be made). 3. Examiner further indicated to Ms Gunderson that previous rejection on claim 27 will be maintained as is and Claims 31 and 32 will be allowed, as amended, over prior art. 4. Ms Gunderson requested an Office Action be sent and Applicant would take action accordingly upon receiving the Office Action.